Subject: FA's stance

Posted by cassaf1981 on Thu, 31 Oct 2019 16:16:09 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I was wondering, what was Hobart Freeman and Faith Assembly's stance on the pre-tribulation rapture and premillennialism?

Just curious, and do you think it should be an issue?

Subject: Re: FA's stance

Posted by Mark L on Thu, 31 Oct 2019 19:36:02 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

He was pretrib. and premil. I am as well and probably the other guys here are too. Freeman's pretrib position (and mine) was a little more nuanced than that. He believed there would be a rapture at the beginning of the trib for those who are ready (overcomers) with a further midtrib rapture for the rest of the church. Big subject. Be an interesting discussion.

What did you mean by " should it be an issue"?

Subject: Re: FA's stance

Posted by william on Fri, 01 Nov 2019 03:13:21 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I think Mark summed it up pretty well. The rapture at the beginning of the tribulation was likened to the offerings of the first fruits at the beginning of the harvest in the old testament.

If you are asking if this should be an issue here, as far as participation goes, then no, but I'd hate it, if you missed it!

Welcome aboard!

Blessings, William Subject: Re: FA's stance

Posted by william on Fri, 01 Nov 2019 03:21:19 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Concerning premillennialism, Zech 14, I believe was the passage that cinched the whole idea of when Jesus would return. It's clearly after the tribulation and before the Millennium in that passage.

William

Subject: Re: FA's stance

Posted by cassaf1981 on Fri, 01 Nov 2019 13:02:40 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I meant like that some Christians say that the pre-trib rapture is false doctrine, and that pastors that teach it are false prophets. But those that believe in the pre-trib rapture can be godly too, I believe.

Subject: Re: FA's stance

Posted by Gary on Wed, 23 Sep 2020 00:12:11 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Mark L wrote on Thu, 31 October 2019 19:36He was pretrib. and premil. I am as well and probably the other guys here are too. Freeman's pretrib position (and mine) was a little more nuanced than that . He believed there would be a rapture at the beginning of the trib for those who are ready (overcomers) with a further midtrib rapture for the rest of the church. Big subject. Be an interesting discussion.

## Mark,

Do you remember where the manifested sons of God fit into this picture? In 1 John 3:2 Beloved, Now are we the sons of God, KJV.

Is this what is meant about the greater works ministry, the sons of God would go forth and do the greater works before the tribulation period?

I remember that the overcomers would rule and reign with a rod of iron during the millennium, then comes the latter rain at what point is this presented, before tribulation?

Back to the sons of God, "For as many as are led by the Spirit of God, these are the sons of God."

For the earnest expectation of the creation eagerly awaits for the revealing of the sons of God.

So the creation is awaiting for this event? Before or after the tribulation?

I believe the way you show it in the quote, did not know how all these other events play into the picture. I assume the next big event is Gog and Magog moves against Israel, somewhere the rapture takes place then the tribulation comes on the earth. But are the sons manifested here for the greater works ministry, before Gog and Magog?

I'm running into sons of God movement people who seem very convincing. Except I don't see us presenting the kingdom to Jesus I think He is the one who brings everything to pass.

One more thing, Did HF preach against the manifested sons of God movement and Dominionism doctrine?

A casual look at these doctrines; we believed a lot of the things that they are saying. Curious on how you guys remember these teachings.

Gary

Subject: Re: FA's stance

Posted by Mark L on Wed, 23 Sep 2020 17:27:27 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Is this what is meant about the greater works ministry, the sons of God would go forth and do the greater works before the tribulation period?

I think the latter rain comes on the church and particularly the oc's prior to the trib. Its called the latter rain as it is opposed to the former rain which was poured on the early church. I think it is out of Joel.

The manifested sons of God are out of Rom. 8. where God is going to take his matured sons/daughters and show them to this world. They will then participate in a greater works ministry.

Off topic but . . . I think some of the judgments of Revelation are done by the oc's.

For the earnest expectation of the creation eagerly awaits for the revealing of the sons of God.

So the creation is awaiting for this event? Before or after the tribulation?

I think it starts prior to the trib continues through the trib and probably into the millennium. The oc's restoring creation to its original state. Made subject to vanity? I prefer the word "hardening or dumbing down" After the fall and more particularly after the flood creation was brought from its former glorious state to a lesser one. Not sure how to describe that. I think the oc's will set it free.

I believe the way you show it in the quote, did not know how all these other events play into the picture. I assume the next big event is Gog and Magog moves against Israel, somewhere the rapture takes place then the tribulation comes on the earth. But are the sons manifested here for the greater works ministry, before Gog and Magog?

No! I think we are in a time of peace right now Magog will end that with the world moving toward the rapture/trib.

I'm running into sons of God movement people who seem very convincing. Except I don't see us presenting the kingdom to Jesus I think He is the one who brings everything to pass.

I agree! I see all that just political. The way to influence the political realm is through prayer.

One more thing, Did HF preach against the manifested sons of God movement and Dominionism doctrine?

Yes he did. I have never really looked at those doctrines other than from what HEF mentioned.

Subject: Re: FA's stance

Posted by william on Wed, 23 Sep 2020 18:24:57 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dominionism has been around for a long time. In my opinion it started, more or less, with a redefinition of the literal millennial period into a spiritual period of time where the church was to gradually take over the world.

There are some indications of this in the writings of the Church "fathers" around the time of Constantine. Earlier, during the "apostolic" period, there seemed to be an overwhelming belief in the literal return of Jesus who was to reign over the earth for a thousand years at which point all of His enemies were to be judged and His reign would then continue through eternity.

Blessings, William