

---

Subject: Re: Gleanings from the "Gospels"

Posted by [james](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2015 15:16:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I never finished my thoughts yesterday due to an interruption for business, then I edited my post to include more of what I was thinking of but got interrupted before I 'submitted' my reply and lost it all. It's not a 'math' problem, I don't care about the 'numbers' adding up I was just curious as to why names were different in the two Gospel accounts.

I wanted to include the question/thoughts to William as well because I know he always has the answers(or can find them quickly) <smile> we probably covered it in a study of The Gospels or NT Theology but I don't recall. I see that in Matthew Jesus' lineage is traced from Abraham to David (14 generations)and from David to the Babylonian captivity (14) and from there unto Christ (14) for a total of 42 generations. In Luke it is traced backward all the way to Adam (I get 75 generations). but my question or observation is, Luke used Nathan's name as the son of David and Matthew used Solomon. Also hardly any of the names are the same when comparing them. Is this because Matthew followed Joseph's lineage and Luke followed Mary's?

---