

---

Subject: Re: Gleanings from the "Gospels"  
Posted by [Gary](#) on Thu, 05 Nov 2015 01:11:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

james wrote on Wed, 04 November 2015 11:01 I'm not going to defend David Platt or Calvinism, but I'd just always assumed we were all pretty much in agreement on most of the teachings of John Calvin concerning the TULIP points. I thought outside of "Limited Atonement" (L) we believed his other points. Sometimes referred to as 4-point Calvinist.

I certainly believe Platt doesn't agree with 'limited atonement' or he wouldn't be so zealous to make known Jesus unto all nations and peoples.

So with what teachings of Calvin do you disagree?

Quote:

"God preordained...a part of the human race, without any merit of their own, to eternal salvation, and another part, in just punishment of their sin, to eternal damnation. " John Calvin

Why preach the gospel if some will be saved no matter what and some will be lost no matter what?

John 3:16

16 For God so loved the world that He gave His only begotten Son, that whoever believes in Him should not perish but have everlasting life.

The Bible teaches that whoever believes. This is for all men that they may receive salvation.

If what Calvin says is true then why would we even be involved because it has already been settled in heaven. Those who are preordained will be saved no matter what happens and those preordained to eternal damnation will perish no matter what they do.

If men have a free will they can reject Christ because they have chosen to reject Him, otherwise is the will of man really free?

When the disciples of Jesus turned back away from His teaching, He asked the twelve will you leave me as well, and Peter stated; where would we go, You have the words of eternal life. They

chose to stay while the others chose to leave. Can we then say as Calvin; they left because God ordained them to eternal damnation?

Gary