
Subject: Is the King James Version Inspired?

Posted by [Mark L](#) on Fri, 07 Feb 2020 00:35:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I was going through some old notes and found a reply I gave someone who asked me about the KJV. Why do I use it. Why did Bro. Freeman recommend it. Isn't there errors in it etc. I found it quite interesting to read over my reply. Its probably old news for us here but I thought the author spoke quite elegantly so I thought I would post it.

This is a basic (very basic) overview of how we got the KJV and why I believe it is the best available today.

There are 4 different types of manuscripts we get our modern Bibles from.

- 1/ The Alexandrian
- 2/ The Byzantine
- 3/ The Caesarian
- 4/ The Western

The Alexandrian manuscripts gave us among others 2 very well known ancient manuscripts called Sinaiticus (found in the Sinai peninsula at St. Catherines Monestary) & Vaticanus (found in the Vatican)

There are two modern well known Greek translations based on the Alexandrian manuscripts that are called the United Bible Society Text (UBS) and the Nestle-Aland Text (NA) Almost all modern translations of the Bible are based on these two texts.

The Byzantine manuscripts have given us two well known Greek Texts. The Textus Receptus (received text or TR.) and the Majority Text. The Greek scholars that produced the Majority Text produced it by saying if a majority of manuscripts translated a passage a certain way then that is the way they chose.

The Textus Receptus is the basis for our modern KJV.
