Subject: Our Sins Are Under the Blood Posted by mark1124 on Sun, 15 Apr 2007 11:39:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

OK here we go again.

The self professed "prophet" of Factnet Follies has started up on his berating me for things that happened four years ago. Nothing new for him.

I proclaimed the scripture in Romans 8:1-2 that says that there is no condemnation for those who are in Christ Jesus. I also said that he could not bring those things up against me because they are all under the blood of Jesus. As per usual, he disagrees with me on the premise that the term "under the blood" is not found in scripture. Therefore it is invalid. Well, following that line of reasoning, we can say that the doctrine of the Trinity is invalid or the rapture teaching is invalid for the very same reason. Just because the term is not there doesn't mean that the doctrine is not true. If we were to follow that logic, then we may not sing "Amazing Grace" because there is nothing in the Bible that says that grace is amazing (hmmm...I think I heard that line from somewhere before.)

I have done my research and found that not only is that Factnet prophet a false prophet, but he is a false teacher too.

What say ye to this concept about "our sins being under the blood"? I think that it is bordering on heresy as I think it is weakening the doctrine of the atonement. What say ye to this as well?

God bless