

---

Subject: What Theology Camp are you in? Quiz  
Posted by [william](#) on Thu, 23 Mar 2006 15:54:23 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

This is a quiz to determine what theologian you more closely identify with... too bad Hobart Freeman isn't one of those listed.

It is interesting and pretty short... give it a try. I couldn't believe the results it gave me so I'm not sure how accurate it is!

[http://quizfarm.com/test.php?q\\_id=44116](http://quizfarm.com/test.php?q_id=44116)

---

---

Subject: My results  
Posted by [william](#) on Thu, 23 Mar 2006 16:12:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I can't believe it but here are my results... I guess I'm going to need to go back to those theology tapes!

Karl Barth  
100%

Anselm  
67%

Martin Luther  
67%

Jonathan Edwards  
67%

Charles Finney  
67%

Friedrich Schleiermacher  
67%

John Calvin  
60%

Paul Tillich  
33%

Jürgen Moltmann  
0%

Augustine  
0%

---

---

Subject: Re: What Theology Camp are you in? Quiz  
Posted by [mark1124](#) on Sat, 25 Mar 2006 11:31:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

William, you must be kidding if people thought anything good about Bro. Freeman! And especially a theologian. In case you don't know, after all of the incidents of the deaths at FA, Moody decided not to publish the "Prophets" book any longer. They said that they did not want to associate with anyone that caused all the trouble that he did. LOL. And that book was on the #1 best seller list of it's kind, I think.

My how minds change!

God bless

---

---

Subject: Re: What Theology Camp are you in? Quiz  
Posted by [william](#) on Sat, 25 Mar 2006 14:10:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yes, it is a stretch to think that the world would receive any thing that he said... but you did--or you wouldn't be using the Mark11:24 passage to represent yourself!

God's mercies are new every morning... wonder what good thing He will do for us today!

For today I just asked for a sick person to be healed and led into the kingdom. I believe it!

William

---

---

Subject: Re: What Theology Camp are you in? Quiz  
Posted by [william](#) on Sun, 02 Apr 2006 19:15:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I've been pretty busy myself... we finally got my mom moved from Mississippi to an apartment only five minutes away. This will be great for the kids as well for her.

Concerning the Steve Hill tape...

We, like most, collected quite a few tapes over the years. We received the automatics from Hobart, Steve, and Jeff all of the years we were there and a few from Steve after we moved back to Alabama.

About 10 years ago our tape players started eating the tapes so I figured that they had pretty much deteriorated to the point where they were unusable. After all, we had played them over and over for many years, listening to them before going to sleep every night, at work, etc. etc..

To make a long story short, we dumped them all into cardboard boxes and for all practical purposes, forgot about them.

About three or four weeks ago my wife and I found out that we had a closet Freemanite in our midst! One of our daughters had been listening to tapes in her room... I couldn't believe that the tapes were still usable so we gathered up all that we could find and she (my daughter) was going to organize them. When you mentioned the name of the Steve Hill tape my wife noticed the very one you mentioned on top of a huge pile of tapes! So that is how we were able to "lay our hands" on it so quickly!

ttyl, (talk to you later)

William

---

Subject: Re: What Theology Camp are you in? Quiz  
Posted by [mark1124](#) on Sun, 02 Apr 2006 21:56:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi William,

I would be interested in the titles of Steve's tapes that you have and perhaps I can compare them to what I have. Obviously I have all of Bro. Freeman's tapes, including the radio tapes. I have

many of Steve's too but I was interested in comparing notes.

ttyl gbu.

Mark

---

---

Subject: Re: What Theology Camp are you in? Quiz  
Posted by [mark1124](#) on Sun, 09 Apr 2006 11:33:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Hombre,

Sorry it took me a long time to get back in answering your question about how I was introduced to Brother Freeman.

I was first introduced to his teachings back in the early 80's at a church I used to attend. Back in those days, we were known as a "word church". In that camp, there were "Freeman" churches and then "Rhema" churches (Rhema being Copeland, Hagin....etc.).

I was the tape man for a church that was a "Freeman" church. The leadership asked me to duplicate some of Brother Freeman's tapes and I was able to make some for myself as well. I mean, entire sets of theology, deeper life, faith...I was married to the tape duplicator! Well, that's how I got some of the tapes.

I eventually started getting the reel to reels and transferred them onto cassettes. I think you could tell if you came in my Paltalk room that some of the tapes were copies off of copies or transfers from reels.

I was on Brother Freeman's automatics as well as Steve's and Tom Hamilton's (still on Tom's) and eventually I got all of Bro. Freeman's, including the radio tapes.

So I guess that's how I got into Brother Freeman's teachings.

It's ironic...how many of those leaders, whose tapes I made for them, are still listening to those tapes or are still in the message now. I wonder what their reactions were when Brother Freeman passed away.

It is interesting...one brother in our church back then, who used to recommend and get books for our book and tape table, used to say, "We have the deep word" referring to the preaching by the pastor who would preach along the lines of what Brother Freeman taught. Later on, he would say, "You gotta watch out for that word coming from Indiana. They are getting into legalism." Oh

consistency...thou art a jewel! He probably does not follow the teaching anymore either.

Which reminds me of my former pastor. He used to teach along the lines of what Bro. Freeman taught too. He no longer holds to those teachings any more. In fact, he was looking for some teaching on separation from the world and it's ways. I offered to loan him a set of tapes by Tom Hamilton entitled, "The Polluted Influence of the World." He asked me if he was "Freeman". I said yes. He said that he did not want them.

Well, my former pastor got into the liturgical church scene back in the 90's. I guess I don't want anything to do with that either! I won't sit under that mess again.

Perhaps I will share my feelings and experiences in that scene another time.

God bless.

Have a great day and week my friends!

---

Subject: Re: What Theology Camp are you in? Quiz  
Posted by [william](#) on Sun, 09 Apr 2006 17:22:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I haven't heard anything concerning Tom Hamilton in a very long time. We never attended the Indy seminars but got a few of the tapes, including Tom's and we enjoyed hearing a style that was certainly unique!

Concerning the other things you mentioned--those who "follow the teaching." I'm never sure exactly what this phrase means.

I suppose it means different things depending on the individual doing the talking. For me, it doesn't mean following everything that brother Freeman spoke (I've been honest about that) but I do believe the principles he taught--deeper life, faith, healing, deliverance, and the rapture of the overcomers. The last being a subject of controversy even in the church I attend.

I know some have erected standards concerning these areas and some even pronounce woe to anyone not towing the line in every area.

I do see that, Biblically speaking, there are/were things that delineate some from others as when John says:

Quote:1Jo 2:19 They went out from us, but they were not of us; for if they had been of us, they would [no doubt] have continued with us: but [they went out], that they might be made manifest that they were not all of us

This verse of course has been mis-interpreted to mean that anyone who left Faith Assembly had left the faith.

What do you all think about this subject?

William

---

Subject: Re: What Theology Camp are you in? Quiz  
Posted by [william](#) on Mon, 10 Apr 2006 22:52:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, er... that pretty much sums it up! <g>

William

---

Subject: Re: What Theology Camp are you in? Quiz  
Posted by [william](#) on Tue, 11 Apr 2006 01:45:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:I left Faith Assembly's outreach for a variety of reasons, all of which are easily misunderstood and twisted by a number of spiritual and intellectually blind morons, the likes of which frequent a site familiar to all of us.

Yes, I saw that. What surprised me was not the regulars but the other sympathizer that chimed in. Apparently some still need glasses!

Quote:I summarize by saying that I agree with the theology that Hobart brought forth, and I disagree with the methodology pertaining to the practical application and interpretation of that material, by certain legalists, religious bigots, and tiny minded unregenerates represented by their father, the devil.

Yep, I concur wholeheartedly. I've been converting some of the tapes to the mp3 format and in listening again to the teachings we received I can see how some of the statements by brother Freeman were taken and mis-applied by us. It kind of reminds me of the way William Branham's followers treated the things he said, basically treating them as inspired scripture. Don't get me wrong, I think that a pastor of an assembly has what is referred to as "pastoral prerogative" and can/should dictate some of the governmental aspects of how the assembly functions and operates. Especially since each member of the assembly is a reflection of the whole body.

Paul, in writing to the Corinthian assembly, shows some of what I'm talking about when he gives them instruction on how the assembly should function in the midst of the Greek society by not giving occasion to the enemy in their conduct. 1Cor 11, being one such example.

Quote:Now.....I could care less what ANY religious or non-religious person thinks of me, however, I do care what the Lord thinks of me, and if He says: ' Hey there, Mr. Hombre, I don't want you doing that, or talking about that, because that guy who thinks he's healed will stumble all over that ', then I won't do whatever, or I simply won't tell that unsaved fellow about it.

But.....since we are here in a forum to discuss our experiences freely, and make a genuine attempt at discovering our humanity in light of what we know to be true; then I am assuming that anyone reading my postings, or postings of others here, has reached a level of maturity in which they are not here to filch material, posting it elsewhere without our express consent, to take it out of context, without presenting the full basis background thoughts with it, seeking to twist words to meet their end goals.

Exactly. It's just like the devil to want us to dumb down the discussion so that even he can sit in and not be offended.

I was thinking the other day, as I was preparing a response to post on another board (not that one), that it would not be profitable over here (even though it was a pretty good post-if I can say so myself!<g>) because it would just be saying things that are already ingrained within our hearts. We, of course, can edify each other here. We also can get into some of the more controversial issues we grew up with, but it is impossible to deal with even these issues without offending... both those who think we have departed from the faith and those who think we are foolish for sticking with the theological underpinnings that are foundational for our lives.

So, like you, I'm determined to express those things that I know to be true, ponder those things I'm not sure about, and, in short, live life like an older person is supposed to live life--not caring too much for the way it comes across to those whose sole purpose in life is to whine about their past/present and even their future, blaming everything and everyone but themselves.

I do deeply care about people who might be caused to stumble, but for crying out loud, the people who sat under the teaching we sat under should be the last people on earth who would stumble--OVER ANYTHING!

Quote:Let he who is perfect in these verses, be the first to cast their stones at me for reading newspapers, watching TV, and wearing glasses.

Until then, hold your peace.....nevertheless, I know that the detractors will not, because it is not in them to be of peace, or to peruse solutions, but rather to accuse others, as is their father's proclivity.

I remain, free.

Amen to that!

---

Subject: Oddly enough I have no idea who Karl Barth is.....but  
Posted by [a barn kid](#) on Mon, 13 Nov 2006 04:36:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You scored as Martin Luther.

The daddy of the Reformation. You are opposed to any Catholic ideas of works-salvation and see the scriptures as being primarily authoritative.

Karl Barth

100%  
Martin Luther

100%  
Anselm

80%  
John Calvin

67%  
Jonathan Edwards

67%  
Augustine

27%



Charles Finney

20%

Paul Tillich

13%

Friedrich Schleiermacher

0%

J?Moltmann

0%

---

Subject: Re: Oddly enough I have no idea who Karl Barth is.....but

Posted by [william](#) on Mon, 13 Nov 2006 05:00:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I was rather confused about my score as well!

Barth was a modern day (20th century) theologian and somewhat of non-conformist. His theology is associated with the term "neo-orthodoxy," but from what little I've read he seems pretty conservative.

From your score (if you can rely on such things!) it seems as if you are pretty much anti-catholic, lean much more toward Calvinistic theology but with a touch of Arminianism thrown in for balance.

Blessings,  
William

---

---

Subject: Re: Oddly enough I have no idea who Karl Barth is.....but

Posted by [a barn kid](#) on Tue, 14 Nov 2006 02:44:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well, I'm not a 5 point Calvinist.....I'm more a 3 point Calvinist. So the flavor of Armenian in me is definitely there.....

Would you say my theology is in tune with what we were taught at FA?

Total Depravity - yes (yes, I totally suck and couldn't even lift my head up high enough to think of asking for help)

Unconditional Election - yes (I recall sermons by Dr. Freeman on this.)

Limited Atonement - yes (his blood was obviously more than enough for atoning for all the sins of the world, but I guess I think He died for those who were Elect. :shrug:)

Irresistible Grace - no (only God can draw you, but you can choose to turn away)

Perserverance of the Saints - no (I'm not a once saved always saved person.....in light of that whole "work out your salvation with fear and trembling" - why would you do that if you were a "shoein"? That, and the apostate mentioned who "tasted" and turned away)

---

---

Subject: Theological Points

Posted by [william](#) on Tue, 14 Nov 2006 06:17:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:Would you say my theology is in tune with what we were taught at FA?

Yep, I'd say that you pretty much are in line with what we were taught. We would probably agree with the last two points if we could define them from God's standpoint, but alas, most try to define them from man's side, which is a mistake! We must realize our responsibility in receiving that "irresistible grace" and the responsibility to "persevere" lies with us, lest we find out that "once saved", might turn out to be "not saved". God's sovereignty coupled with man's responsibility was the title of one of HEF's books, I believe.

William

---

---

Subject: Re: Theological Points

Posted by [james](#) on Wed, 20 Aug 2008 20:40:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I just love test, I took it and was told to come back with a doctors excuse and both my parents...

And the results are, drum beat please....

Anselm\_\_\_\_\_100%

Barth\_\_\_\_\_67%

Calvin\_\_\_\_\_67%

Luther\_\_\_\_\_67%

Edwards\_\_\_\_\_67%( no, not that Edwards)

Schleiermacher\_67%

Hombre\_\_\_\_\_67%

Grandom\_\_\_\_\_67%

Jisamazed\_\_\_\_0% Opps!

William, should I keep this on me at all times,  
in case when Jesus comes He might want to see it?

I still think Zacchaeus wuz short...

And Apostles should walk....

---

Subject: Re: Theological Points  
Posted by [james](#) on Wed, 20 Aug 2008 22:27:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

BTW: Could I get an advance based on commissions already due me?

And yes, you're probably right, I shouldn't offend anyone...

So my new opinion of Zacchaeus is that; while not as tall as some, he wasn't as short as previously stated,

and he might have even been much taller than many theologians concluded, thus proving once again that...

It's not the size of the dog in the fight...but the fight that's in the dog...( Michael Vick told me that)

---

---

Subject: Re: Theological Points

Posted by [Mark L](#) on Thu, 21 Aug 2008 04:15:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Which theologian are you?

You scored as a Martin Luther

The daddy of the Reformation. You are opposed to any Catholic ideas of works-salvation and see the scriptures as being primarily authoritative.

Martin Luther

80%

John Calvin

60%

Anselm

47%

Karl Barth

40%

Jonathan Edwards

40%

Charles Finney

27%

Paul Tillich

20%

Jürgen Moltmann

13%

Augustine

7%

Friedrich Schleiermacher

7%

Barth was neo-orthodox. I think Tillich gets the label too not sure though. But he was on the liberal end of things. Neither of those two men would be considered evangelical like us. Schleiermacher was a liberal. Moltmann \*I think\* fits the label too. Neo-orthodox theologians were all liberals who saw that liberal theology did not fill mans need. So they came back to a form of orthodoxy. As a group they didn't believe in the new birth. Although at least one of them got saved. I'm reasonably sure my facts here are correct.

"God does not exist. Rather he is the ground of our being." That sums up Tillich's theology

Neo orthodox theology is very deceptive because it carries a lot of the same words & ideas we use. They don't mean the same though.

Barth ; when asked what was the most important bible verse or bible theology said "Jesus loves me this I know for the bible tells me so. (not sure of the exact quote here but it is substantially correct) Now how do you disagree with that? But he didn't even believe in the new birth.

I went back and took the test again this time making very strong agree or disagree. I came up with about 87% Barth as well.

"All christian theology must begin with the revelation of Christ." That is a very Barthian statement. How would you disagree with that?

Neo orthodox theologians would not be considered conservative or evangelical (which is what we are) by virtually anyone we would consider evangelical or conservative.

---

Subject: Re: Theological Points  
Posted by [james](#) on Thu, 21 Aug 2008 11:07:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks Mark,

That was insightful info, which I didn't fully know/or remember.

Thankfully, our faith and relationship with Jesus is deeper than the results shown on these 'test'.

Theologians??? Sounds like some of them needed to readdress a few issues there...but alas, it's too late for them...

"Study to shew thyself approved unto God, a work-man that needeth not to be ashamed, rightly dividing the word of truth." II Tim.2:15

---

Subject: Re: Theological Points  
Posted by [grandom](#) on Thu, 21 Aug 2008 11:36:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Speaking of tests.

I got this word wrong on a test once.

Granted you dont here it that much anymore, but i should have remembered it..

The word is "Issumagijoujungnainermik".

Ps. I fgailed a spelling test also with this word.

Guess I didnt live far enough north

Richard

---

---

Subject: Re: What Theology Camp are you in? Quiz  
Posted by [believer 1](#) on Thu, 21 Aug 2008 12:14:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

This is a quiz to determine what theologian you more closely identify with... too bad Hobart Freeman isn't one of those listed.

It is interesting and pretty short... give it a try. I couldn't believe the results it gave me so I'm not sure how accurate it is!

[http://quizfarm.com/test.php?q\\_id=44116](http://quizfarm.com/test.php?q_id=44116)

Hi it's Believer 1 again. I regularly pop in to see what's happening on this site because it has been so instrumental in my return to walking with Jesus again. I look for postings that are current and this one I clicked on and decided I'd do the quiz, even though it was mentioned ages ago. My results were 100% CHarles Finney, 100% Calvin, 100% Martin Luther, 80% KAri Barth, 67% Johathan Edwards, 67% Anselm, 33% Friedrich Schleiermacher, 33% Paul Tillich, 33% Augustine, 0% Jurgen Moltmann.

I must admit that I hadn't heard of most of these people but after I did the quiz I thought I'd read up on Finney to see who this man was and in what way did he reflect how God was moving in my life now. I wasn't surprised to see that Finney had a slant on evangelism. I do know that since God has healed my bruised spirit through this site I have had a renewed insight given to me on how to witness to people, something that the legalism in Faith Assembly had robbed me of.

I am fellowshipping regularly on a Sunday once again and bible study mid week. I can't get

enough of his Word and fellowship with the Saints of God. I am no longer that critical, frightened, hurting individual anymore. I see the powers of Satan being put under foot as I begin to pray and believe once again like I did before but I'm much wiser and smarter in Jesus these days.

---

---

Subject: Re: Theological Points  
Posted by [grandom](#) on Thu, 21 Aug 2008 13:01:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I don't really have a reply here but I do have a question.

Eph5:27 Jesus wants to present His church without spot or wrinkle.

I can understand spot but what meaneth wrinkle?

Seeking the truth

Richard

---

---

Subject: Re: Theological Points  
Posted by [believer 1](#) on Thu, 21 Aug 2008 13:30:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Also, many of the questions are quite thought provoking and really cannot fit within the context of a 'yes/no' answer format without qualifiers being added on...so I wouldn't take this too seriously.

I don't take it too serious but it was fun to see what slant I now have in my walk with God now. We can all learn from who God has placed here on earth before. We learnt from Hobart Freeman so we should be able to learn from other men and women of God.

---

---

Subject: Re: Theological Points  
Posted by [believer 1](#) on Thu, 21 Aug 2008 13:36:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Eph5:27 Jesus wants to present His church without spot or wrinkle.

I'll have a go too at explaining what I think Jesus is saying - it means to have His righteous because if you have anything else you're going to have spots and wrinkles!

---

---

Subject: Re: Theological Points  
Posted by [Mark L](#) on Thu, 21 Aug 2008 13:48:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The test was really just to show which theologian you most resemble. Karl Barth came in early 20th century like a tidal wave. He had a tremendous impact on Western Christianity (and still does) He was probably the most influential theologian of the 20th century. He was never accepted by the fundamentals. The evangelicals really struggled until the issue was mostly resolved against him although his influence is still felt. I don't read his material because I don't think he has anything to say.

By fundamentals I mean a group of xians who are strongly conservative in belief and theology and had a very strong position on being seperate from the world

Evangelicals are very similar but believe they should impact the world by their presence in science academia government etc. and place a much stronger value on academic understanding.

Then there is the charasmaniacs and when you throw the faith message into the equation you throw your hands up in the air and just follow Jesus.

I consider myself evangelical (and I think HEF did too) because I see no need to hide behind the walls of my faith in seperating from the world.

---

Subject: Re: Theological Points  
Posted by [Mark L](#) on Thu, 21 Aug 2008 13:49:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

That's a real blessed comment from believer 1 there

---

---

Subject: Re: Theological Points  
Posted by [grandom](#) on Thu, 21 Aug 2008 14:24:53 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I'll take a stab at that, although I have nothing to back it up with and it's therefore just conjecture. Since a 'wrinkle' is a folding back of the material upon itself, thereby 'concealing or hiding' the



material behind it, I am going to surmise that it means that the believer cannot attempt to 'hide' things by wrinkling ( distorting ) the word to fit his/her comfort levels.

Great answer Hombre. My thoughts were similar but yet a little different. For instance, a document that has wrinkles in it can easily distort the message. How many modern day preachers have a new "wrinkle" to the gospel that Jesus presented. Jesus is saying here, I think that we will be pure, no spots and no wrinkles that hide His Word or as you said distort it to our comfort levels. Man thats scary. Were in agreement. Thats why you get my vote for head Apostle.

All kidding aside. You do have a great grasp of the unwrinkled word. (most of the time)

---

Subject: Re: Theological Points  
Posted by [james](#) on Thu, 21 Aug 2008 17:38:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In addressing Hombre, Grandom wrote:

"all kidding aside, you do have a great grasp of the unwrinkled word.( most of the time)

Careful there with the compliments, bro Richard, we can't afford to let him get all big headed on us, else his mitre won't fit.

---

Subject: Re: Theological Points  
Posted by [grandom](#) on Thu, 21 Aug 2008 17:47:57 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

james wrote on Thu, 21 August 2008 12:38In addressing Hombre, Grandom wrote:

"all kidding aside, you do have a great grasp of the unwrinkled word.( most of the time)

Careful there with the compliments, bro Richard, we can't afford to let him get all big headed on us, else his mitre won't fit.

Well see thats why I put that caveat at the end in ()

Pride goeth before a fall but some times the mitre hits the ground first That equipment costs to much to not take precautions

---

---

Subject: Re: Theological Points  
Posted by [grandom](#) on Thu, 21 Aug 2008 17:56:10 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Post to my own message.

If he does mess uo we can always Issumagijoujungnainermik Him.

---

---

Subject: Re: Theological Points  
Posted by [james](#) on Thu, 21 Aug 2008 18:05:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

What kind of dictionaries ya'll got 'up there'? I couldn't find that word in mine...  
And I was thinking maybe that was a word from your prayer language, and that you would interpret it at some point.  
Shoulda known Hombre would figure it out. Eskimo huh? If ya'll say so...

---

---

Subject: Re: Theological Points  
Posted by [grandom](#) on Thu, 21 Aug 2008 18:14:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

james wrote on Thu, 21 August 2008 13:05What kind of dictionaries ya'll got 'up there'? I couldn't find that word in mine...  
And I was thinking maybe that was a word from your prayer language, and that you would interpret it at some point.  
Shoulda known Hombre would figure it out. Eskimo huh? If ya'll say so...

I told you I grew up in the north. So does my present location qualify me as a southerner?

---

---

Subject: Re: What Theology Camp are you in? Quiz  
Posted by [james](#) on Fri, 22 Aug 2008 14:02:28 GMT

---

Hombre wrote:

"...you have apparently been able to see beyond our 'personalities' to recognize that we are all here for the same reason you are:  
to continue on in our walk with the Lord."

And all God's people said; AMEN !!!

I too testify to the grace of God in using this web site (actually the brothers and sisters sharing God's Word through it);  
to strengthen me and remind me that what He placed within me many years ago has a purpose;  
and awakening me from out of my slumber.

So I say, 'HOSANNA, blessed be my rock, and let the God of my salvation be exalted.'

---

Subject: Re: What Theology Camp are you in? Quiz  
Posted by [NBF56](#) on Tue, 26 Aug 2008 15:44:28 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

My results shocked me a bit...

You scored as a Karl Barth

The daddy of 20th Century theology. You perceive liberal theology to be a disaster and so you insist that the revelation of Christ, not human experience, should be the starting point for all theology.

Karl Barth        87%

Martin Luther     80%

Jonathan Edwards 73%

Anselm            73%

John Calvin       67%

Augustine         53%

Friedrich Schleiermacher 40%  
Charles Finney 33%  
Jürgen Moltmann 27%  
Paul Tillich 27%

Some of these guys I had never heard of. I am a little concerned that Charles Finney even showed up on my list, because I consider him to be a heretic. I thought I was a bit more Augustinian than this poll showed, as well as a bit more Calvinistic. But, having read Luther's "The Bondage of the Will", I am heartened to see an 80% rating for his theology. I've never read Barth, but I agree with his assessment that Liberal Theology is a disaster, and the starting point of Theology must be the revelation of Christ.

NBF

---

---

Subject: Re: What Theology Camp are you in? Quiz  
Posted by [NBF56](#) on Tue, 26 Aug 2008 16:31:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hombre wrote on Tue, 26 August 2008 12:13NBF56 wrote on Tue, 26 August 2008 10:44My results shocked me a bit

...we need to see this as purely entertainment, because none of us know who the creator of the test is/was or what his/her beliefs are, relative to either the questions, or the men listed. Therefore the results can span from accurate to spurious.

It doesn't mean anything.

Agreed. It just surprised me a bit. Not taking it seriously. Finney? That's just more of a stretch than I could ever believe.

NBF

---

---

Subject: Re: What Theology Camp are you in? Quiz  
Posted by [grandom](#) on Mon, 01 Sep 2008 11:48:47 GMT

---

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Good Morning Brother

Yes Tom still has his seminars but they are not in Tenn.

I think it was in louisville last year but I could be wrong. I am on Bro. Tom`s automatic mailing so I will be getting advanced notice so if you dont find out before that I will let you know.

---

---

Subject: Re: What Theology Camp are you in? Quiz  
Posted by [Mark L](#) on Mon, 01 Sep 2008 13:57:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Tom still has his seminars in Tennessee yes. I posted the info here last year and will be doing so here again. The meetings have been arranged but the brochures haven't been printed yet at least as of 2 weeks ago. I or someone else will post the info here.

---

---

Subject: Re: What Theology Camp are you in? Quiz  
Posted by [Mark L](#) on Mon, 01 Sep 2008 14:03:51 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hey Bro Alanbook. Here's the info from last year. It is probably the same except for the dates but we will get info up in plenty of time

<http://overcomersonline.com/FUDforum2/index.php?t=msg&th=125&start=0&S=55f948ae436ce8b5646f5e9868f65c68>

---

---

Subject: Re: What Theology Camp are you in? Quiz  
Posted by [grandom](#) on Mon, 01 Sep 2008 14:15:26 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well see there you go.

Once again I`m wrong.

Glad you got the right info Hardbones. I knew someone would straighten me out.

Hey i try

---

---

Subject: Re: What Theology Camp are you in? Quiz  
Posted by [NBF56](#) on Tue, 02 Sep 2008 14:58:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

HombreGod is rethinking the way He's doing business now that man has gotten so much smarter.

That's what you get when they are taught that God is only reactive to men's choices, and that they actually "activate" their salvation by their own choice to believe. There is no understanding of the unchangeable Nature of God, that He is the same yesterday, today, and forever, the Eternal "I Am".

God's Wisdom is ageless, and Eternal. It does not change. It is NOT the "wisdom" of this world, which does change. Men have no natural ability to understand God's Wisdom, counting it as "foolishness", unless and until they are enlightened by the Holy Spirit (1 Cor 2). Even those who have trusted in Christ will not automatically understand God's Wisdom unless and until they are enlightened by the Holy Spirit.

Instead, we see people running here and there, looking for "revelation", and wolves who are all too happy to tell them what they want to hear. It's small wonder that the Gifts of the Holy Spirit are spoken ill of, with all the fakes and charlatans out there.

But, God has reserved a remnant to Himself, who have not bowed the knee to "Baal", and seek Him and listen to Him, and know the voice of the Spirit.

---